

HOUSE BILL 1248

E3

4lr3352
CF SB 326

By: **Delegate Metzgar**

Introduced and read first time: February 8, 2024

Assigned to: Judiciary

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

2 **Juvenile Law – Questioning of a Juvenile – Crime of Violence or Crime**
3 **Involving a Firearm**

4 FOR the purpose of providing that a law enforcement officer may conduct a certain
5 interrogation of a child if probable cause exists for the law enforcement officer to
6 believe that the child committed a certain crime of violence or a crime involving a
7 firearm and the law enforcement officer has made a certain effort to give a certain
8 notice to the child’s parent, guardian, or custodian; and generally relating to the
9 interrogation of children by law enforcement.

10 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
11 Article – Courts and Judicial Proceedings
12 Section 3–8A–14.2
13 Annotated Code of Maryland
14 (2020 Replacement Volume and 2023 Supplement)

15 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,
16 That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

17 **Article – Courts and Judicial Proceedings**

18 3–8A–14.2.

19 (a) (1) In this section the following words have the meanings indicated.

20 (2) “Custodial interrogation” retains its judicially determined meaning.

21 (3) **“FIREARM” HAS THE MEANING STATED IN § 4–204 OF THE**
22 **CRIMINAL LAW ARTICLE.**

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



(4) (i) “Law enforcement officer” has the meaning stated in § 1–101 of the Public Safety Article.

(ii) “Law enforcement officer” includes a school resource officer, as defined in § 7–1501 of the Education Article.

(b) A law enforcement officer may not conduct a custodial interrogation of a child until:

(1) The child has consulted with an attorney who is:

(i) Retained by the parent, guardian, or custodian of the child; or

(ii) Provided by the Office of the Public Defender; and

(2) The law enforcement officer has made an effort reasonably calculated to give actual notice to the parent, guardian, or custodian of the child that the child will be interrogated.

(c) A consultation with an attorney under this section:

(1) Shall be:

(i) Conducted in a manner consistent with the Maryland Rules of Professional Conduct; and

(ii) Confidential; and

(2) May be:

(i) In person; or

(ii) By telephone or video conference.

(d) To the extent practicable and consistent with the Maryland Rules of Professional Conduct, an attorney providing consultation under this section shall communicate and coordinate with the parent, guardian, or custodian of the child in custody.

(e) The requirement of consultation with an attorney under this section:

(1) May not be waived; and

(2) Applies regardless of whether the child is proceeded against as a child under this subtitle or is charged as an adult.

(f) (1) A law enforcement agency conducting an interrogation under this section shall maintain a record of the notification or attempted notification of a parent,

1 guardian, or custodian under this section, including:

2 (i) A signed statement by a duly authorized law enforcement officer
3 employed by the agency that an attempt to notify a parent, guardian, or custodian was
4 made;

5 (ii) The name of the person sought to be notified; and

6 (iii) The method of attempted notification.

7 (2) (i) A law enforcement agency conducting an interrogation under
8 this section shall maintain a record of the name of the attorney contacted and the county
9 or counties in which the attorney provided the consultation.

10 (ii) An attorney contacted to provide legal consultation to a child
11 under this subtitle shall provide to a law enforcement officer the information required for
12 the record required to be maintained under subparagraph (i) of this paragraph.

13 (g) (1) Notwithstanding the requirements of this section, a law enforcement
14 officer may conduct an otherwise lawful custodial interrogation of a child if:

15 (i) 1. The law enforcement officer reasonably believes that the
16 information sought is necessary to protect against a threat to public safety; and

17 [(ii)] 2. The questions posed to the child by the law enforcement
18 officer are limited to those questions reasonably necessary to obtain the information
19 necessary to protect against the threat to public safety; **OR**

20 **(II) 1. PROBABLE CAUSE EXISTS FOR THE LAW**
21 **ENFORCEMENT OFFICER TO BELIEVE THE CHILD COMMITTED A CRIME OF**
22 **VIOLENCE, AS DEFINED IN § 14-101 OF THE CRIMINAL LAW ARTICLE, OR A CRIME**
23 **INVOLVING A FIREARM; AND**

24 **2. THE LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER HAS MADE AN**
25 **EFFORT REASONABLY CALCULATED TO GIVE ACTUAL NOTICE TO THE PARENT,**
26 **GUARDIAN, OR CUSTODIAN OF THE CHILD THAT THE CHILD WILL BE INTERROGATED.**

27 (2) (i) Unless it is impossible, impracticable, or unsafe to do so, an
28 interrogation conducted under paragraph (1) of this subsection shall be recorded.

29 (ii) In a jurisdiction that has adopted the use of body-worn digital
30 recording devices by law enforcement officers, the interrogation of a child may be recorded
31 using a body-worn digital recording device in a manner that is consistent with
32 departmental policies regarding the use of body-worn digital recording devices.

33 (iii) In a jurisdiction that has not adopted the use of body-worn

1 digital recording devices, the interrogation of a child may be recorded using other video and
2 audio recording technology in a manner that is consistent with any policies of the law
3 enforcement agency regarding the use of video and audio recording technology.

4 (iv) A child being interrogated under this subsection shall be
5 informed if the interrogation is being recorded.

6 (h) (1) There is a rebuttable presumption that a statement made by a child
7 during a custodial interrogation is inadmissible in a delinquency proceeding or a criminal
8 prosecution against that child if a law enforcement officer willfully failed to comply with
9 the requirements of this section.

10 (2) The State may overcome the presumption by showing, by clear and
11 convincing evidence, that the statement was made knowingly, intelligently, and
12 voluntarily.

13 (3) This subsection may not be construed to render a statement by that
14 child inadmissible in a proceeding against another individual.

15 (i) The Office of the Public Defender shall:

16 (1) Develop and implement policies to provide guidance and instruction to
17 attorneys to meet the requirements of this section; and

18 (2) On or before October 1, 2022, publish on its website, or provide to law
19 enforcement on request, information on attorneys available to act as counsel to a child in
20 accordance with this section.

21 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect
22 October 1, 2024.