HOUSE BILL 169

E3, E2 HB 76/23 – JUD (PRE–FILED)

By: Delegate Acevero

Requested: August 14, 2023

Introduced and read first time: January 10, 2024

Assigned to: Judiciary

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

2 Custodial Interrogation of Minors – Admissibility of Statements

- FOR the purpose of establishing a certain rebuttable presumption that a statement made by a minor during a custodial interrogation is involuntary and is inadmissible in a juvenile or criminal proceeding against the minor under certain circumstances; and generally relating to the admissibility of statements made by children during custodial interrogation.
- 8 BY adding to
- 9 Article Courts and Judicial Proceedings
- 10 Section 10–925
- 11 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 12 (2020 Replacement Volume and 2023 Supplement)
- 13 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,
- 14 That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

15 Article – Courts and Judicial Proceedings

- 16 **10–925.**
- 17 (A) IN THIS SECTION, "CUSTODIAL INTERROGATION" RETAINS ITS 18 JUDICIALLY DETERMINED MEANING.
- 19 (B) (1) THERE IS A REBUTTABLE PRESUMPTION THAT A STATEMENT
- 20 MADE BY A MINOR DURING A CUSTODIAL INTERROGATION IS INVOLUNTARY AND IS
- 21 INADMISSIBLE IN A JUVENILE OR CRIMINAL PROCEEDING AGAINST THE MINOR IF
- 22 THE LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER INTENTIONALLY USED INFORMATION KNOWN BY
- 23 THE OFFICER TO BE FALSE IN ORDER TO ELICIT THE STATEMENT.

 ${\bf EXPLANATION: Capitals\ indicate\ matter\ added\ to\ existing\ law}.$

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



- 1 (2) THE PRESUMPTION DESCRIBED IN PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS
- 2 SUBSECTION MAY BE REBUTTED BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT THE
- 3 STATEMENT WAS VOLUNTARY AND NOT MADE IN RESPONSE TO THE FALSE
 - INFORMATION USED BY THE LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER TO ELICIT THE
- 5 STATEMENT.
- 6 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect
- 7 October 1, 2024.