

### 117TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

# S. 2073

To maintain the ability of the United States Armed Forces to deny a fait accompli by the People's Republic of China against Taiwan.

### IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

June 16, 2021

Mr. Hawley (for himself, Mr. Tillis, Mr. Tuberville, Mr. Daines, and Mr. Cornyn) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Armed Services

## A BILL

To maintain the ability of the United States Armed Forces to deny a fait accompli by the People's Republic of China against Taiwan.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
- 4 This Act may be cited as the "Taiwan Defense Act
- 5 of 2021".
- 6 SEC. 2. DEFINITIONS.
- 7 In this Act:
- 8 (1) Deny.—The term "deny" means to use
- 9 combined joint operations to delay, degrade, and ul-

1	timately defeat an attempt by the People's Republic
2	of China to execute a fait accompli against Taiwan,
3	resulting in—
4	(A) the termination of hostilities or at
5	least the attempted fait accompli; or
6	(B) the neutralization of the ability of the
7	People's Republic of China to execute a fait
8	accompli against Taiwan.
9	(2) Fait accompli.—The term "fait accompli"
10	refers to the strategy of the People's Republic of
11	China for invading and seizing control of Taiwan be-
12	fore the United States Armed Forces can respond
13	effectively, while simultaneously deterring an effec-
14	tive combined joint response by the United States
15	Armed Forces by convincing the United States that
16	mounting such a response would be prohibitively dif-
17	ficult or costly.
18	SEC. 3. FINDINGS.
19	Congress makes the following findings:
20	(1) Taiwan is a beacon of democracy in Asia
21	and a steadfast partner of the United States in the
22	common pursuit of a free and open Indo-Pacific re-
23	gion in which—
24	(A) all societies enjoy sovereign autonomy;

1	(B) the people of the region live securely,
2	prosperously, and with dignity; and
3	(C) the societies of the region rise and fall
4	not by coercion but on the basis of peaceful
5	competition.
6	(2) If the People's Republic of China were to
7	use military force to compel the unification of Tai-
8	wan with the People's Republic of China—
9	(A) the world would lose one of the great
10	exemplars of freedom and democracy;
11	(B) the United States and allies and part-
12	ners of the United States would face severe dif-
13	ficulty in maintaining favorable balances of
14	power relative to the People's Republic of China
15	in Northeast and Southeast Asia; and
16	(C) as the balance of power in the Indo-
17	Pacific region shifted in favor of the People's
18	Republic of China, the People's Republic of
19	China would possess an increasing ability to—
20	(i) impose its will throughout the
21	Indo-Pacific region, including by threat-
22	ening or using force against Japan, South
23	Korea, the Philippines, and other allies
24	and partners of the United States; and

1	(ii) restrict United States access to
2	key trade routes and markets in the Indo-
3	Pacific region, thereby imposing economic
4	hardship on middle-class and working-class
5	Americans and increasing the ability of the
6	People's Republic of China to intrude into
7	political life in the United States.
8	(3) There is growing concern that the Govern-
9	ment of the People's Republic of China may con-
10	clude that the cross-Strait military balance has tilted
11	in its favor and launch an invasion of Taiwan sooner
12	than previously anticipated, including as follows:
13	(A) Former Assistant to the President for
14	National Security Affairs H.R. McMaster testi-
15	fied in March 2021 that Taiwan is "the most
16	significant flashpoint now" between the United
17	States and the People's Republic of China.
18	(B) Commander of United States Indo-Pa-
19	cific Command Admiral John Aquilino testified
20	in March 2021 that the threat of an invasion
21	by the People's Republic of China of Taiwan is
22	"much closer to us than most think" and could
23	materialize well before 2035.
24	(C) Former Commander of United States
25	Indo-Pacific Command Admiral Phil Davidson

testified in March 2021 that the threat of an invasion by the People's Republic of China of Taiwan "is manifest during this decade, in fact in the next six years".

- (4) Defense policymakers and analysts across multiple administrations have found that, if the People's Republic of China does invade Taiwan, the invasion will likely take the form of a fait accompli, including as follows:
  - (A) The Defense Science Board assessed in June 2018 that the People's Republic of China "will attempt to achieve a decisive outcome very quickly, presenting the United States and its allies with a fait accompli," in the event of conflict.
  - (B) The Department of Defense Indo-Pacific Strategy Report released in June 2019 found that—
    - (i) if the People's Republic of China or another strategic competitor in the Indo-Pacific region decides "to advance their interests through force, they are likely to enjoy a local military advantage at the onset of conflict. In a fait accompli scenario, competitors would seek to employ

their capabilities quickly to achieve limited
objectives and forestall a response from the
United States, and its allies and partners";
and

- (ii) an attempted fait accompli by the People's Republic of China or another strategy competitor would constitute one of the "most stressing potential scenarios" facing the United States Armed Forces.
- (C) The Tri-Service Maritime Strategy released by the United States Navy, United States Marine Corps, and United States Coast Guard in December 2020 stated, "In the event of conflict, China . . . will likely attempt to seize territory before the United States and its allies can mount an effective response—leading to a fait accompli.".
- (D) The Army Multi-Domain Transformation strategic guidance released by Army Chief of Staff General James McConville in March 2021 stated that China and other adversaries of the United States will seek to "seize their objectives quickly as a fait accompli," if the Joint Force "cannot present credible deterrent options".

- (E) Then-Acting Secretary of Defense Patrick Shanahan testified in March 2019 that the Government of the People's Republic of China is developing capabilities that could be used to "achieve a 'fait accompli' that would make reversing Chinese gains more difficult, militarily and politically".
  - (F) Special Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for China Ely Ratner assessed in January 2020 that "China has made significant investments in long-range ISR and strike assets" and "China hopes the threat of these attacks will deter U.S. intervention by negating a substantial portion of U.S. airpower early in a conflict, thereby creating time and space for a fait accompli".
  - (G) Former Under Secretary of Defense for Policy Michèle Flournoy warned in June 2020 that the Government of the People's Republic of China may believe the United States "lack[s] the military capabilities that might be effective in the face of A2/AD" and "conclude that China should move on Taiwan sooner rather than later, a fait accompli that a weakened

1	and distracted United States would have to ac-
2	cept".
3	(5) There is broad agreement that the United

- (5) There is broad agreement that the United States should maintain the ability of the United States Armed Forces to defeat a fait accompli by the People's Republic of China, including as follows:
  - (A) The National Defense Strategy Commission assessed in November 2018 that competing successfully in "the Indo-Pacific region, while also managing escalation dynamics, requires positioning substantial capability forward . . . to deter and prevent a fait accompli by an agile, opportunistic adversary".
  - (B) Then-Acting Secretary of Defense Patrick Shanahan testified in March 2019 that implementation of the 2018 National Defense Strategy was required to ensure the United States had "the capabilities, posture, and employment of forces" necessary to prevent a fait accompli by the People's Republic of China.
  - (C) Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs Jake Sullivan and Deputy Assistant to the President and Coordinator for Indo-Pacific Affairs on the National Security Council Kurt Campbell warned in September

- 2 2019 that "Beijing cannot be allowed to use the threat of force to pursue a fait accompli in territorial disputes".
  - (D) Former Under Secretary of Defense for Policy James Miller wrote in October 2020 that "U.S. defense planners must maintain a laser focus on a scenario for which U.S. forces are ill-prepared today, in which China . . . see[s] the opportunity for a quick invasion of a U.S. partner or ally and attempt[s] to impose a fait accompli that would be costly and risky to reverse".
  - (E) In January 2021, Secretary of Defense Lloyd Austin upheld the assessment by the Indo-Pacific Strategy Report that Department of Defense "initiatives on force employment, crisis response, force and concept development, and collaboration with allies and partners" should be "aimed to help address this critical challenge" of a potential fait accompli scenario involving the People's Republic of China.
  - (F) Deputy Secretary of Defense Kathleen Hicks testified in February 2021 that the People's Republic of China is "less likely" to attempt a fait accompli against Taiwan if it

knows that the United States will be able to defeat such an attempt, and that the United States should therefore maintain the ability to defeat a fait accompli by the People's Republic of China against Taiwan.

- (G) Under Secretary of Defense for Policy Colin Kahl, Admiral Aquilino, and Admiral Davidson testified in March 2021 that they agreed with the testimony by Deputy Secretary Hicks, and that the United States should maintain the ability to defeat a fait accompli by the People's Republic of China against Taiwan in order to strengthen deterrence against such a contingency.
- (H) Former Assistant to the President and Deputy National Security Advisor Matt Pottinger testified in June 2021 that "the Department of Defense must ensure that it can deny China's ability to forcibly subordinate Taiwan, including through a fait accompli attempt," and that "time is running short" to do so.
- (I) Secretary Austin testified in June 2021 that the United States should maintain its ability to defeat a Chinese fait accompli against

1	Taiwan, including by maintaining a combat-
2	credible forward deterrent posture.
3	(6) There is likewise broad agreement that a
4	strategy of denial is essential to deter or defeat a
5	fait accompli by the People's Republic of China
6	against Taiwan, including as follows:
7	(A) The 2018 National Defense Strategy
8	tasked the Department of Defense with pos-
9	turing and employing forces to "delay, degrade,
10	or deny adversary aggression".
11	(B) Secretary Austin argued in January
12	2021 that a "combat-credible, forward deter-
13	rent posture is instrumental to the United
14	States military's ability to deter, and if nec-
15	essary, deny a fait accompli scenario".
16	(C) Deputy Secretary Hicks, Under Sec-
17	retary Kahl, Admiral Aquilino, and Admiral
18	Davidson reaffirmed the emphasis by Secretary
19	Austin on denial and testified that a strategy of
20	denial is essential for deterring Chinese aggres-
21	sion.
22	(D) The Joint Operating Concept for De-
23	terrence Operations released in December 2017
24	states that forward-deployed forces contribute

to "denying benefits" and thereby reduce "the

- likelihood of an adversary achieving strategic or tactical surprise, thus helping to prevent adversary decision makers from concluding they might achieve a military fait accompli that could be extremely costly for the US to reverse by force".
  - (E) The United States Army's Multi-Domain Operations concept document released in December 2018 states that Army forces must demonstrate the capability "to immediately deny a fait accompli" in order to deter a near-peer adversary.
  - (F) The Marine Corps Force Design 2030 report released in March 2020 states that forward-deployed forces possess the capability to "attrite adversary forces, enable joint force access requirements, complicate targeting and consume adversary ISR resources, and prevent fait accompli scenarios".
  - (G) The Tri-Service Maritime Strategy directs the United States Navy, United States Marine Corps, and United States Coast Guard to "deny adversaries their objectives, defeat adversary forces while managing escalation, and

1	set the conditions for favorable conflict termi-
2	nation".
3	(7) Under the Taiwan Relations Act (22 U.S.C
4	3301 et seq.), it is the policy of the United States
5	to consider any effort to determine the future of
6	Taiwan by other than peaceful means to be of grave
7	concern to the United States, and—
8	(A) the Taiwan Relations Act further es-
9	tablishes it as the policy of the United States
10	"to maintain the capacity of the United States
11	to resist any resort to force or other forms of
12	coercion that would jeopardize the security, or
13	the social or economic system, of the people or
14	Taiwan";
15	(B) implementation of the Taiwan Rela-
16	tions Act therefore requires the United States
17	to maintain the ability of the United States
18	Armed Forces to defeat a fait accompli by the
19	People's Republic of China against Taiwan;
20	(C) since 1979, the United States Govern-
21	ment has consistently upheld the commitments
22	of the United States under the Taiwan Rela-
23	tions Act;
24	(D) section 1260 of the William M. (Mac)
25	Thornberry National Defense Authorization Act

- for Fiscal Year 2021 (Public Law 116–283) reaffirms that the Taiwan Relations Act and the Six Assurances are the cornerstones of United States relations with Taiwan;
  - (E) the Department of State reaffirmed in January 2021 that "[t]he United States maintains its longstanding commitments as outlined in the Three Communiques, the Taiwan Relations Act, and the Six Assurances," and that the commitment of the United States to Taiwan is "rock-solid";
  - (F) a failure by the United States to continue to uphold all of its obligations under the Taiwan Relations Act, including by clearly maintaining the ability of the United States Armed Forces to defeat a fait accompli by the People's Republic of China against Taiwan, could draw into question the willingness and ability of the United States to uphold analogous commitments elsewhere in the Indo-Pacific region; and
  - (G) any such loss of credibility could jeopardize the ability of the United States to forge and sustain the coalition of nations required to maintain a favorable balance of power against

the People's Republic of China, thereby denying
the hegemonic ambitions of the People's Republic of China.

### SEC. 4. SENSE OF CONGRESS.

5

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- It is the sense of Congress that—
- 6 (1) the ability of the United States to maintain 7 a free and open Indo-Pacific region would be signifi-8 cantly compromised if the People's Republic of 9 China were able to invade and seize control of Tai-10 wan, with severe implications for the lives, liveli-11 hoods, and freedoms of working Americans and the 12 allies and partners of the United States in the Indo-13 Pacific region;
  - (2) the most effective way for the People's Republic of China to seize control of Taiwan is by way of invasion, and an invasion of Taiwan by the People's Republic of China is likely to take the form of a fait accompli;
  - (3) implementation of the Taiwan Relations Act requires the United States to maintain the ability of the United States Armed Forces to defeat a fait accompli by the People's Republic of China against Taiwan, and officials across multiple administrations have affirmed that—

1	(A) the United States should maintain the
2	ability of the United States Armed Forces to
3	defeat a fait accompli by the People's Republic
4	of China against Taiwan, and
5	(B) a strategy of denial is the most effec-
6	tive option for deterring or defeating a fait
7	accompli by the People's Republic of China
8	against Taiwan;
9	(4) the Department of Defense has nonetheless
10	struggled to consistently focus sufficient attention
11	and resources on maintaining the ability to deny a
12	fait accompli by the People's Republic of China
13	against Taiwan, and as a result—
14	(A) the Government of the People's Repub-
15	lic of China may believe that it can launch a
16	successful fait accompli against Taiwan as soon
17	as 2027; and
18	(B) the governments of allies and partners
19	of the United States in the Indo-Pacific region
20	may question the will or ability of the United
21	States to lead efforts to prevent the People's
22	Republic of China from dominating the Indo-
23	Pacific region; and
24	(5) it should therefore be the policy of the
25	United States to maintain the ability of the United

- States Armed Forces to deny a fait accompli by the People's Republic of China against Taiwan in order to—
  - (A) ensure the Department of Defense adequately prioritizes maintaining the ability to deny a fait accompli by the People's Republic of China against Taiwan as it develops strategies and plans and designs, postures, and employs the United States Armed Forces; and
    - (B) by doing so, clarify for the Government of the People's Republic of China and other governments in the Indo-Pacific region that the United States maintains and will continue to maintain the ability of the United States Armed Forces to deny a fait accompli by the People's Republic of China against Taiwan, as required by the Taiwan Relations Act and in order to strengthen deterrence in the Indo-Pacific region.

### 20 SEC. 5. STATEMENT OF POLICY.

It shall be the policy of the United States to maintain
the ability of the United States Armed Forces to deny a
fait accompli by the People's Republic of China against
Taiwan.