

116TH CONGRESS
1ST SESSION

H. R. 2975

To protect a woman's ability to determine whether and when to bear a child or end a pregnancy, and to protect a health care provider's ability to provide reproductive health care services, including abortion services.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

MAY 23, 2019

Ms. JUDY CHU of California (for herself, Mr. VELA, Ms. VELÁZQUEZ, Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ, Mrs. WATSON COLEMAN, Mr. WELCH, Ms. WEXTON, Ms. WILD, Ms. WILSON of Florida, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. LOEBSACK, Ms. SEWELL of Alabama, Mr. SHERMAN, Mr. YARMUTH, Mr. EVANS, Mr. SCOTT of Virginia, Mr. THOMPSON of Mississippi, Mrs. BUSTOS, Mr. BUTTERFIELD, Mr. NEGUSE, Mr. ALLRED, Ms. ADAMS, Mr. AGUILAR, Ms. BARRAGÁN, Ms. BASS, Mrs. BEATTY, Mr. BERA, Mr. BEYER, Mr. BLUMENAUER, Ms. BLUNT ROCHESTER, Ms. BONAMICI, Mr. BROWN of Maryland, Ms. BROWNLEY of California, Mr. CARBAJAL, Mr. CÁRDENAS, Mr. CARSON of Indiana, Mr. CASTEN of Illinois, Ms. CASTOR of Florida, Mr. CISNEROS, Ms. CLARK of Massachusetts, Ms. CLARKE of New York, Mr. CLAY, Mr. COHEN, Mr. CONNOLLY, Mr. COOPER, Mr. COX of California, Mr. CRIST, Mr. CROW, Mr. SUOZZI, Mr. CUMMINGS, Mr. MORELLE, Mrs. DAVIS of California, Mr. DANNY K. DAVIS of Illinois, Ms. DEAN, Mr. DEFazio, Ms. DEGETTE, Ms. DELAURO, Ms. DELBENE, Mr. DELGADO, Mrs. DEMINGS, Mr. DEUTCH, Mrs. DINGELL, Mr. DOGGETT, Mr. ENGEL, Ms. ESCOBAR, Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mrs. FLETCHER, Mr. FOSTER, Ms. FRANKEL, Ms. FUDGE, Ms. GARCIA of Texas, Ms. SCANLON, Mr. GOMEZ, Mr. GREEN of Texas, Mr. GRIJALVA, Ms. HAALAND, Mr. HASTINGS, Mrs. HAYES, Mr. HECK, Mr. HIGGINS of New York, Ms. HILL of California, Mr. HIMES, Ms. NORTON, Mr. HORSFORD, Ms. HOULAHAN, Ms. JACKSON LEE, Ms. JAYAPAL, Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia, Ms. JOHNSON of Texas, Ms. KAPTUR, Ms. KELLY of Illinois, Mr. KHANNA, Mr. KILMER, Mr. KIND, Mrs. KIRKPATRICK, Mr. KRISHNAMOORTHY, Ms. KUSTER of New Hampshire, Mr. LARSEN of Washington, Mrs. LAWRENCE, Mr. LAWSON of Florida, Ms. LEE of California, Mrs. LEE of Nevada, Mr. LEVIN of Michigan, Mr. LEWIS, Mr. TED LIEU of California, Ms. LOFGREN, Mr. LOWENTHAL, Mrs. LOWEY, Mr. LUJÁN, Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York, Mr. SEAN PATRICK MALONEY of New York, Ms. MATSUI, Mrs. MCBATH, Ms. MCCOLLUM, Mr. MCEACHIN, Mr. MEEKS, Ms. MENG, Ms. MOORE, Mr. MOULTON, Ms. MUCARSEL-POWELL, Mr. NADLER, Mrs. NAPOLITANO,

Mr. O'HALLERAN, Ms. OMAR, Mr. PANETTA, Mr. PAPPAS, Mr. PAYNE, Mr. PERLMUTTER, Mr. PETERS, Ms. PINGREE, Mr. POCAN, Ms. PRESSLEY, Mr. PRICE of North Carolina, Mr. QUIGLEY, Mr. RASKIN, Miss RICE of New York, Mr. RICHMOND, Mr. ROUDA, Mr. RUPPERSBERGER, Ms. SÁNCHEZ, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. SCHAKOWSKY, Mr. SCHIFF, Mr. SCHNEIDER, Mr. SCHRADER, Ms. SCHRIER, Mr. SERRANO, Ms. SHALALA, Mr. SIRES, Mr. SMITH of Washington, Mr. SOTO, Ms. SPEIER, Mr. SWALWELL of California, Mr. TAKANO, Mr. THOMPSON of California, Ms. TITUS, Mr. TRONE, Ms. TLAIB, Mr. TONKO, Mrs. TORRES of California, Mrs. TRAHAN, Mr. HUFFMAN, Mr. GARAMENDI, Mr. MCGOVERN, Ms. PORTER, Mr. CASE, Ms. OCASIO-CORTEZ, Mr. CICILLINE, Mr. GALLEG0, Mr. BRENDAN F. BOYLE of Pennsylvania, Mr. GARCÍA of Illinois, Ms. SHERRILL, Mr. DAVID SCOTT of Georgia, and Mr. DESAULNIER) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Energy and Commerce

A BILL

To protect a woman's ability to determine whether and when to bear a child or end a pregnancy, and to protect a health care provider's ability to provide reproductive health care services, including abortion services.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
 2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4 This Act may be cited as the “Women’s Health Pro-
 5 tection Act of 2019”.

6 **SEC. 2. FINDINGS AND PURPOSE.**

7 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

8 (1) Access to safe, legal abortion services is es-
 9 sential to women’s health and central to women’s
 10 ability to participate equally in the economic and so-
 11 cial life of the United States.

1 (2) Since 1973, the Supreme Court repeatedly
2 has recognized the constitutional right of a woman
3 to decide to terminate her pregnancy before fetal vi-
4 ability, and to terminate her pregnancy after fetal
5 viability where it is necessary, in the good-faith med-
6 ical judgment of the treating health care profes-
7 sional, for the preservation of her life or health.

8 (3) Nonetheless, access to safe, legal abortion
9 services has been hindered across the United States
10 in various ways, including blockades of health care
11 facilities and associated violence, prohibitions of and
12 restrictions on insurance coverage, restrictions which
13 shame and stigmatize women seeking abortion serv-
14 ices, and medically unnecessary regulations which
15 neither confer any health benefit nor further the
16 safety of abortion services, but which harm women
17 by delaying access to, and reducing the availability
18 of, services. Since 2010, States and local govern-
19 ments have passed more than 400 such restrictions
20 singling out health care providers who offer abortion
21 services and interfering with health care providers'
22 ability to provide reproductive health care services
23 and the ability of patients to obtain those services.

24 (4) Many State and local governments have im-
25 posed restrictions on the provision of abortion that

1 are neither evidence-based nor generally applicable
2 to the medical profession or to other medically com-
3 parable outpatient gynecological procedures, such as
4 endometrial ablations, dilation and curettage for rea-
5 sons other than abortion, hysteroscopies, loop
6 electrosurgical excision procedures, or other analo-
7 gous non-gynecological procedures performed in
8 similar outpatient settings including vasectomy,
9 sigmoidoscopy, and colonoscopy.

10 (5) Legal abortion is one of the safest medical
11 procedures in the United States. An independent re-
12 view of research on the safety and quality of abor-
13 tion services in the United States, published by the
14 National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and
15 Medicine in 2018, found that abortion in all forms
16 is safe and effective and that the biggest threats to
17 the quality of abortion services in the United States
18 are State regulations that create barriers to care.
19 These abortion-specific restrictions conflict with
20 medical standards and are not supported by the rec-
21 ommendations and guidelines issued by leading re-
22 productive health care professional organizations in-
23 cluding the American College of Obstetricians and
24 Gynecologists, the Society of Family Planning, the

1 National Abortion Federation, the World Health Or-
2 ganization, and others.

3 (6) Many abortion-specific restrictions do not
4 confer any health or safety benefits on the patient.
5 Instead, these restrictions have the purpose and ef-
6 fect of unduly burdening women’s personal and pri-
7 vate medical decisions to end their pregnancies by
8 making access to abortion services more difficult,
9 invasive, and costly, forcing women to travel signifi-
10 cant distances and make multiple unnecessary visits
11 to the provider, and in some cases, foreclosing the
12 option altogether. For example, a 2018 report from
13 the University of California San Francisco’s Advanc-
14 ing New Standards in Reproductive Health research
15 group found that in 27 cities across the United
16 States, people have to travel more than 100 miles in
17 any direction to reach an abortion provider.

18 (7) These restrictions additionally harm wom-
19 en’s health by reducing access not only to abortion
20 services but also to the other essential health care
21 services offered by the providers targeted by the re-
22 strictions, including—

23 (A) contraceptive services, which advance
24 women’s health and provide a range of benefits,

1 including preventing unintended pregnancies
2 and reducing the need for abortion; and

3 (B) screenings for cervical cancer and sex-
4 ually transmitted infections.

5 (8) The cumulative effect of these numerous re-
6 strictions has been to severely limit the availability
7 of abortion services in some areas, creating a patch-
8 work system where access to abortion services is
9 more available in some States than in others. A
10 2019 report from the Government Accountability Of-
11 fice examining State Medicaid compliance with abor-
12 tion coverage requirements analyzed 7 key chal-
13 lenges (identified both by health care providers and
14 research literature) and their effect on abortion ac-
15 cess, and found that access to abortion services var-
16 ied across the States and even within a State.

17 (9) The harms of these abortion-specific restric-
18 tions fall especially heavily on low-income women,
19 women of color, immigrants, young people, and
20 women living in rural and other medically under-
21 served areas.

22 (10) Abortion-specific restrictions single out
23 health services used by women, and rely on and rein-
24 force stereotypes about women's roles, women's deci-
25 sionmaking, and women's need for protection. These

1 restrictions harm the basic autonomy, dignity, equal-
2 ity, and ability of women to participate in the social
3 and economic life of the Nation.

4 (11) Not all people who become pregnant or
5 need abortion services identify as women. Access to
6 abortion services is critical to the health of every
7 person regardless of actual or perceived race, color,
8 national origin, immigration status, sex (including
9 gender identity, sex stereotyping, or sexual orienta-
10 tion), age, or disability status. This Act's protection
11 is inclusive of all pregnant people.

12 (12) These restrictions affect the cost and
13 availability of abortion services, and the settings in
14 which abortion services are delivered. Women travel
15 across State lines and otherwise engage in interstate
16 commerce to access this important medical care, and
17 more would be forced to do so absent this Act. Like-
18 wise, health care providers travel across State lines
19 and otherwise engage in interstate commerce in
20 order to provide reproductive health services to pa-
21 tients, and more would be forced to do so absent this
22 Act.

23 (13) Health care providers, including those who
24 provide abortion services, engage in a form of eco-
25 nomic and commercial activity when they provide

1 abortion services, and there is an interstate market
2 for abortion services.

3 (14) To provide abortion services, health care
4 providers engage in interstate commerce to purchase
5 medicine, medical equipment, and other necessary
6 goods and services. To provide and assist others in
7 providing abortion services, health care providers en-
8 gage in interstate commerce to obtain and provide
9 training. To provide abortion services, health care
10 providers employ and obtain commercial services
11 from doctors, nurses, and other personnel who en-
12 gage in interstate commerce and travel across State
13 lines. Abortion restrictions substantially affect inter-
14 state commerce in numerous ways.

15 (15) It is difficult and time-consuming for clin-
16 ics to challenge State laws that burden or impede
17 abortion services. Litigation that blocks one abortion
18 restriction may not prevent a State from adopting
19 other abortion restrictions or using different meth-
20 ods to burden or impede abortion services. There is
21 a history and pattern of States passing successive
22 and different laws that impede and unduly burden
23 abortion services.

24 (16) When a health care provider ceases pro-
25 viding abortion services as a result of burdensome

1 and medically unnecessary regulations, it is often
2 difficult or impossible for that health care provider
3 to recommence providing those abortion services,
4 and difficult or impossible for other health care pro-
5 viders to provide abortion services that restore or re-
6 place the ceased abortion services.

7 (17) An overwhelming majority of abortions in
8 the United States are provided in clinics, not hos-
9 pitals. The large majority of United States counties
10 have no clinics that provide abortion.

11 (18) Congress has the authority to enact this
12 Act to protect abortion services pursuant to—

13 (A) its powers under the commerce clause
14 of section 8 of article I of the Constitution of
15 the United States;

16 (B) its powers under section 5 of the Four-
17 teenth Amendment to the Constitution of the
18 United States to enforce the provisions of sec-
19 tion 1 of the Fourteenth Amendment; and

20 (C) its powers under the necessary and
21 proper clause of section 8 of Article I of the
22 Constitution of the United States.

23 (19) Congress has used its authority in the past
24 to protect women’s ability to access abortion services
25 and health care providers’ ability to provide abortion

1 services. In the early 1990s, protests and blockades
2 at health care facilities where abortion services were
3 provided, and associated violence, increased dramati-
4 cally and reached crisis level, requiring Congres-
5 sional action. Congress passed the Freedom of Ac-
6 cess to Clinic Entrances Act (Public Law 103–259;
7 108 Stat. 694) to address that situation and protect
8 physical access to abortion services.

9 (20) Congressional action is necessary to put an
10 end to harmful restrictions, to federally protect ac-
11 cess to abortion services for all women regardless of
12 where they live, and to protect the ability of repro-
13 ductive health care providers to provide these serv-
14 ices in a safe and accessible manner.

15 (b) PURPOSE.—It is the purpose of this Act—

16 (1) to permit health care providers to provide
17 abortion services without limitations or requirements
18 that single out the provision of abortion services for
19 restrictions that are more burdensome than those re-
20 strictions imposed on medically comparable proce-
21 dures, do not significantly advance women’s health
22 or the safety of abortion services, and make abortion
23 services more difficult to access;

1 (2) to promote women’s health and women’s
2 ability to participate equally in the economic and so-
3 cial life of the United States; and

4 (3) to invoke Congressional authority, including
5 the powers of Congress under the commerce clause
6 of section 8 of article I of the Constitution of the
7 United States, its powers under section 5 of the
8 Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the
9 United States to enforce the provisions of section 1
10 of the Fourteenth Amendment, and its powers under
11 the necessary and proper clause of section 8 of arti-
12 cle I of the Constitution of the United States.

13 **SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.**

14 In this Act:

15 (1) **ABORTION SERVICES.**—The term “abortion
16 services” means an abortion and any medical or
17 non-medical services related to and provided in con-
18 junction with an abortion (whether or not provided
19 at the same time or on the same day as the abor-
20 tion).

21 (2) **HEALTH CARE PROVIDER.**—The term
22 “health care provider” means any entity or indi-
23 vidual (including any physician, certified nurse-mid-
24 wife, nurse practitioner, and physician assistant)
25 that is—

1 (A) engaged in the delivery of health care
2 services, including abortion services; and

3 (B) if required by law or regulation to be
4 licensed or certified to engage in the delivery of
5 such services, is so licensed or certified.

6 (3) MEDICALLY COMPARABLE PROCEDURES.—

7 The term “medically comparable procedures” means
8 medical procedures that are similar in terms of
9 health and safety risks to the patient, complexity, or
10 the clinical setting that is indicated.

11 (4) PREGNANCY.—The term “pregnancy” refers
12 to the period of the human reproductive process be-
13 ginning with the implantation of a fertilized egg.

14 (5) VIABILITY.—The term “viability” means
15 the point in a pregnancy at which, in the good-faith
16 medical judgment of the treating health care pro-
17 vider, based on the particular facts of the case be-
18 fore the health care provider, there is a reasonable
19 likelihood of sustained fetal survival outside the
20 uterus with or without artificial support.

21 **SEC. 4. PERMITTED SERVICES.**

22 (a) GENERAL RULE.—A health care provider has a
23 statutory right under this Act to provide abortion services,
24 and may provide abortion services, and that provider’s pa-

1 tient has a corresponding right to receive such services,
2 without any of the following limitations or requirements:

3 (1) A requirement that a health care provider
4 perform specific tests or medical procedures in con-
5 nection with the provision of abortion services, un-
6 less generally required for the provision of medically
7 comparable procedures.

8 (2) A requirement that the same health care
9 provider who provides abortion services also perform
10 specified tests, services, or procedures prior to or
11 subsequent to the abortion.

12 (3) A requirement that a health care provider
13 offer or provide the patient seeking abortion services
14 medically inaccurate information in advance of or
15 during abortion services.

16 (4) A limitation on a health care provider's abil-
17 ity to prescribe or dispense drugs based on current
18 evidence-based regimens or the provider's good-faith
19 medical judgment, other than a limitation generally
20 applicable to the medical profession.

21 (5) A limitation on a health care provider's abil-
22 ity to provide abortion services via telemedicine,
23 other than a limitation generally applicable to the
24 provision of medical services via telemedicine.

1 (6) A requirement or limitation concerning the
2 physical plant, equipment, staffing, or hospital
3 transfer arrangements of facilities where abortion
4 services are provided, or the credentials or hospital
5 privileges or status of personnel at such facilities,
6 that is not imposed on facilities or the personnel of
7 facilities where medically comparable procedures are
8 performed.

9 (7) A requirement that, prior to obtaining an
10 abortion, a patient make one or more medically un-
11 necessary in-person visits to the provider of abortion
12 services or to any individual or entity that does not
13 provide abortion services.

14 (8) A prohibition on abortion prior to fetal via-
15 bility, including a prohibition or restriction on a par-
16 ticular abortion procedure.

17 (9) A prohibition on abortion after fetal viabil-
18 ity when, in the good-faith medical judgment of the
19 treating health care provider, continuation of the
20 pregnancy would pose a risk to the pregnant pa-
21 tient's life or health.

22 (10) A limitation on a health care provider's
23 ability to provide immediate abortion services when
24 that health care provider believes, based on the

1 good-faith medical judgment of the provider, that
2 delay would pose a risk to the patient's health.

3 (11) A requirement that a patient seeking abor-
4 tion services prior to fetal viability state the pa-
5 tient's reasons for seeking abortion services, or a
6 limitation on the provision of abortion services prior
7 to fetal viability based on the patient's reasons or
8 perceived reasons for obtaining abortion services.

9 (b) OTHER LIMITATIONS OR REQUIREMENTS.—A
10 health care provider has a statutory right to provide abor-
11 tion services, and may provide abortion services, and that
12 provider's patient has a corresponding right to receive
13 such services, without a limitation or requirement that—

14 (1) is the same as or similar to one or more of
15 the limitations or requirements described in sub-
16 section (a); or

17 (2) both—

18 (A) singles out the provision of abortion
19 services, health care providers who provide
20 abortion services, or facilities in which abortion
21 services are provided; and

22 (B) impedes access to abortion services
23 based on one or more of the factors described
24 in subsection (c).

1 (c) FACTORS FOR CONSIDERATION.—Factors for a
2 court to consider in determining whether a limitation or
3 requirement impedes access to abortion services for pur-
4 poses of subsection (b)(2)(B) include the following:

5 (1) Whether the limitation or requirement
6 interferes with a health care provider’s ability to
7 provide care and render services in accordance with
8 the provider’s good-faith medical judgment.

9 (2) Whether the limitation or requirement is
10 reasonably likely to delay some patients in accessing
11 abortion services.

12 (3) Whether the limitation or requirement is
13 reasonably likely to directly or indirectly increase the
14 cost of providing abortion services or the cost for ob-
15 taining abortion services (including costs associated
16 with travel, childcare, or time off work).

17 (4) Whether the limitation or requirement is
18 reasonably likely to have the effect of necessitating
19 a trip to the offices of a health care provider that
20 would not otherwise be required.

21 (5) Whether the limitation or requirement is
22 reasonably likely to result in a decrease in the avail-
23 ability of abortion services in a given State or geo-
24 graphic region.

1 (6) Whether the limitation or requirement im-
2 poses penalties that are not imposed on other health
3 care providers for comparable conduct or failure to
4 act, or that are more severe than penalties imposed
5 on other health care providers for comparable con-
6 duct or failure to act.

7 (7) The cumulative impact of the limitation or
8 requirement combined with other new or existing
9 limitations or requirements.

10 (d) EXCEPTION.—To defend against a claim that a
11 limitation or requirement violates a health care provider’s
12 or patient’s statutory rights under subsection (b), a party
13 must establish, by clear and convincing evidence, that—

14 (1) the limitation or requirement significantly
15 advances the safety of abortion services or the health
16 of patients; and

17 (2) the safety of abortion services or the health
18 of patients cannot be advanced by a less restrictive
19 alternative measure or action.

20 (e) APPLICABILITY.—

21 (1) GENERAL RELATIONSHIP TO FEDERAL
22 LAW.—Except as stated in paragraph (2), this Act
23 supersedes and applies to all Federal law, and the
24 implementation of that law, whether statutory or
25 otherwise, and whether adopted before or after the

1 date of enactment of this Act, notwithstanding any
2 other provision of Federal law, including the Reli-
3 gious Freedom Restoration Act of 1993 (42 U.S.C.
4 2000bb et seq.).

5 (2) LIMITATIONS.—The provisions of this Act
6 shall not supersede or apply to—

7 (A) laws regulating physical access to clin-
8 ic entrances;

9 (B) insurance or medical assistance cov-
10 erage of abortion services;

11 (C) the procedure described in section
12 1531(b)(1) of title 18, United States Code; or

13 (D) generally applicable State contract
14 law.

15 **SEC. 5. RELATIONSHIP TO STATE LAW AND PREEMPTION.**

16 No State, territory, or possession of the United
17 States, or the District of Columbia, or the Commonwealth
18 of Puerto Rico, or subdivision, branch, department, agen-
19 cy, instrumentality, or official (or other person acting
20 under color of law) of any of the foregoing, shall enact
21 or enforce any law, rule, regulation, standard, or other
22 provision having the force and effect of law that conflicts
23 with any provision of this Act.

1 **SEC. 6. EFFECTIVE DATE.**

2 This Act shall take effect immediately upon the date
3 of enactment of this Act. This Act shall apply to all re-
4 strictions on the provision of, or access to, abortion serv-
5 ices whether the restrictions are enacted or imposed prior
6 to or after the date of enactment of this Act, except as
7 otherwise provided in this Act.

8 **SEC. 7. LIBERAL CONSTRUCTION.**

9 (a) LIBERAL CONSTRUCTION.—In interpreting the
10 provisions of this Act, a court shall liberally construe such
11 provisions to effectuate the purposes of the Act.

12 (b) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this Act
13 shall be construed to authorize any government to inter-
14 fere with a woman’s ability to terminate her pregnancy,
15 to diminish or in any way negatively affect a woman’s con-
16 stitutional right to terminate her pregnancy, or to displace
17 any other remedy for violations of the constitutional right
18 to terminate a pregnancy.

19 **SEC. 8. ENFORCEMENT.**

20 (a) ATTORNEY GENERAL.—The Attorney General
21 may commence a civil action for prospective injunctive re-
22 lief on behalf of the United States against any government
23 official that is charged with implementing or enforcing any
24 limitation or requirement that is challenged as a violation
25 of a statutory right under this Act. The court shall hold

1 unlawful and set aside the limitation or requirement if it
2 is in violation of this Act.

3 (b) PRIVATE RIGHT OF ACTION.—

4 (1) IN GENERAL.—Any individual or entity, in-
5 cluding any health care provider, aggrieved by an al-
6 leged violation of this Act may commence a civil ac-
7 tion for prospective injunctive relief against the gov-
8 ernment official that is charged with implementing
9 or enforcing the limitation or requirement that is
10 challenged as a violation of a statutory right under
11 this Act. The court shall hold unlawful and set aside
12 the limitation or requirement if it is in violation of
13 this Act.

14 (2) HEALTH CARE PROVIDER.—A health care
15 provider may commence an action for prospective in-
16 junctive relief on its own behalf and/or on behalf of
17 the provider's patients who are or may be adversely
18 affected by an alleged violation of this Act.

19 (c) EQUITABLE RELIEF.—In any action under this
20 section, the court may award appropriate equitable relief,
21 including temporary, preliminary, or permanent injunctive
22 relief.

23 (d) COSTS.—In any action under this section, the
24 court shall award costs of litigation, as well as reasonable
25 attorney fees, to any prevailing plaintiff. A plaintiff shall

1 not be liable to a defendant for costs in any non-frivolous
2 action under this section.

3 (e) JURISDICTION.—The district courts of the United
4 States shall have jurisdiction over proceedings under this
5 Act and shall exercise the same without regard to whether
6 the party aggrieved shall have exhausted any administra-
7 tive or other remedies that may be provided for by law.

8 (f) ABROGATION OF STATE IMMUNITY.—A State
9 shall not be immune under the Eleventh Amendment to
10 the Constitution of the United States from an action in
11 Federal or State court of competent jurisdiction for a vio-
12 lation of this Act. In any action against a State for a viola-
13 tion of the requirements of this Act, remedies (including
14 remedies both at law and in equity) are available for such
15 a violation to the same extent as such remedies are avail-
16 able for such a violation in an action against any public
17 or private entity other than a State.

18 **SEC. 9. SEVERABILITY.**

19 If any provision of this Act, or the application of such
20 provision to any person, entity, government, or cir-
21 cumstance, is held to be unconstitutional, the remainder
22 of this Act, or the application of such provision to all other
23 persons, entities, governments, or circumstances, shall not
24 be affected thereby.

○