SENATE BILL 86

R6 7lr1086 SB 232/16 - JPRCF HB 494 (PRE-FILED) By: Senator Norman Requested: November 7, 2016 Introduced and read first time: January 11, 2017 Assigned to: Judicial Proceedings Committee Report: Favorable Senate action: Adopted Read second time: February 8, 2017 CHAPTER AN ACT concerning Motor Vehicles - Use of Fog Lights When Windshield Wipers Operating - Repeal FOR the purpose of repealing the authority of a driver to use a vehicle's fog lights instead of the vehicle's headlamps during the continuous operation of the vehicle's windshield wipers under certain circumstances; and generally relating to the use of vehicle lamps and lights when the windshield wipers are operating. BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments, Article – Transportation Section 22–201.2 Annotated Code of Maryland (2012 Replacement Volume and 2016 Supplement) SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows: Article - Transportation 22-201.2.Notwithstanding any other provision of this subtitle, if a driver of a vehicle on a highway operates the vehicle's windshield wipers for a continuous period of time because of impaired visibility resulting from unfavorable atmospheric conditions, the driver shall light the vehicle's headlamps [or fog lights].

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.

<u>Underlining</u> indicates amendments to bill.

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Strike out indicates matter stricken from the bill by amendment or deleted from the law by amendment.

$\frac{1}{2}$	(b) A violation of this section is not considered a moving violation for purposes of $\S 16-402$ of this article.			
3	(c)	(1)	If a p	erson is convicted under this section, the conviction may not:
4			(i)	Be considered evidence of negligence;
5			(ii)	Be considered evidence of contributory negligence;
6			(iii)	Limit liability of a party or an insurer; or
7 8	maintenanc	ce, or c	(iv) operation	Diminish recovery for damages arising out of the ownership, on of a motor vehicle.
9 10	(2) Subject to the provisions of paragraph (3) of this subsection, a party, witness, or counsel may not make reference to a violation of this section.			
11 12 13 14	(3) Nothing contained in this subsection may be construed to prohibit the right of a person to institute a civil action for damages against a dealer, manufacturer, distributor, factory branch, or other appropriate entity arising out of an incident that involves a defectively installed or defectively operating headlamp [or fog light].			
15 16	(d) A person who is convicted of a violation of subsection (a) of this section is subject to a fine not to exceed \$25.			
17 18 19	(e) A police officer may enforce the provisions of this section only as a secondary action when the police officer detains a driver of a motor vehicle for a suspected violation of another provision of the Code.			
20 21	SEC'.	TION :	2. AND	BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect July
	Approved:			
				Governor.
				President of the Senate.
				Speaker of the House of Delegates.