

## 119TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

## H. R. 953

To advance United States long-term trade competitiveness and economic leadership in the Indo-Pacific region.

## IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

February 4, 2025

Mrs. Miller of West Virginia (for herself, Mr. Panetta, Mr. Smith of Nebraska, Mr. Bera, Mr. Lahood, and Mr. Beyer) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Ways and Means

## A BILL

To advance United States long-term trade competitiveness and economic leadership in the Indo-Pacific region.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
- This Act may be cited as the "United States Trade
- 5 Leadership in the Indo-Pacific Act".
- 6 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.
- 7 Congress finds the following:
- 8 (1) The United States is an Indo-Pacific power.
- 9 (2) The Indo-Pacific region, spanning from our
- 10 Pacific Coastline to the Indian Ocean, is home to

- over half the world's people, including nearly 60 percent of youth, and is at the center of the 21st-century global economy, accounting for 60 percent of global gross domestic product and two-thirds of the world's economic growth in 2022.
  - (3) The Indo-Pacific region also includes some of America's closest military allies and partners, several of the world's largest militaries, and 5 nations allied with the United States through mutual defense treaties. The region also contains strategic rivals with growing military capabilities, in particular the People's Republic of China (PRC). Our partners are critical for responding to potential threats in the region, maintaining credible deterrence, and for fostering peace.
  - (4) There is broad bipartisan agreement that the United States must have a strong and durable economic strategy in the Indo-Pacific to advance our commercial, geostrategic, and national security interests and support our allies and partners in the region.
  - (5) This is especially true and increasingly urgent in the face of heightened aggression and pressure from the PRC, which seeks to expand its influence by actively pursuing trade agreements with key

- partners in the Indo-Pacific that establish preferential treatment for goods and services, deepen supply chain integration, and establish rules based on the PRC's state-led authoritarian economic model that undercut America's workers, businesses, and economic security.
  - (6) For decades, the United States has sought to persuade the PRC to eliminate harmful trade practices and act responsibly within the global rules-based trading system. Unfortunately, the PRC has not substantially changed its behavior and has instead used forced labor, subsidies and overproduction, intellectual property theft and the forced transfer of technology, authoritarian digital governance policies, economic coercion, and other unfair practices to advance an economic model that undermines human rights, American industries and workers, and market-based economies around the world.
  - (7) The PRC is now actively seeking to increase trade ties in the Indo-Pacific region as a means to increase its economic influence and increase supply chain dependency on the PRC. One of the most prominent examples of the PRC's growing economic influence in the Indo-Pacific region is the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP),

- which entered into force in January 2022. RCEP is now the largest trade agreement in the world, en-compassing 15 countries that account for 30 percent of the global economy. This agreement will increas-ingly put the United States at a competitive dis-advantage as the economies of the PRC, Australia, Brunei, Cambodia, Indonesia, Japan, Korea, Laos, Malaysia, Myanmar, New Zealand, the Philippines, Singapore, Thailand, and Vietnam grow more inte-grated.
  - (8) The PRC is also actively negotiating numerous other regional and bilateral trade agreements throughout the region and is attempting to accede to the Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement for Trans-Pacific Partnership (CPTPP) as well as the Digital Economic Partnership Agreement (DEPA).
  - (9) The PRC's aggressive assertion of its economic interests in the Indo-Pacific through the use of trade agreements underscores the need for the United States to provide a meaningful and credible alternative to achieve our economic and national security goals.
  - (10) American workers and businesses also face competitive pressures as other countries in the region pursue regional rules and preferential trade

- agreements without the participation of the United States. There are now more than 200 preferential trade agreements in force with at least one party from the region and over 100 more are under negotiation or pending ratification.
  - (11) To inform future policymaking, Congress should work with the administration in a bipartisan manner to examine current United States economic policy toward the Indo-Pacific, the impacts of regional trade agreements on American competitiveness, and policies to advance United States objectives in the region.
  - (12) Through a more comprehensive trade and economic strategy toward the Indo-Pacific region, the United States could exert greater leverage to improve labor rights and help level the playing field for American workers, enhance environmental standards, counter non-market economies and authoritarianism, construct more resilient supply chains, better meet the needs of our allies and partners, and grow our economy by addressing barriers to trade for American products.

1	SEC. 3. INVESTIGATION OF IMPACT OF INDO-PACIFIC RE-
2	GIONAL AGREEMENTS ON UNITED STATES
3	COMPETITIVENESS.
4	Not later than 180 days after the date of the enact-
5	ment of this Act, the United States International Trade
6	Commission shall conduct and conclude an investigation
7	to examine—
8	(1) how preferential market access provisions,
9	including tariffs, quotas, and services commitments,
10	in existing Indo-Pacific regional trade agreements,
11	including the Regional Comprehensive Economic
12	Partnership (RCEP) Agreement and the Com-
13	prehensive and Progressive Agreement for Trans-Pa-
14	cific Partnership (CPTPP), affect United States ex-
15	ports and growth opportunities in the Indo-Pacific
16	region;
17	(2) how existing non-tariff barriers, including
18	regulatory practices, relatively lower labor and envi-
19	ronmental standards, different rules for sectors
20	ranging from agriculture and the digital economy,
21	and standard-setting in these areas as part of exist-
22	ing Indo-Pacific regional and bilateral trade agree-
23	ments, impact the competitiveness of American
24	workers and businesses;
25	(3) the impact of existing Indo-Pacific regional
26	trade agreements on United States supply chain re-

1	siliency and connectivity, and in particular its im-
2	pact on the People's Republic of China's role in key
3	global supply chains; and
4	(4) differences between the United States-Mex-
5	ico-Canada Agreement (USMCA) and CPTPP,
6	RCEP, or other regional trade agreements in the
7	Indo-Pacific that would likely have a substantial im-
8	pact on United States businesses and workers.
9	SEC. 4. INDO-PACIFIC TRADE STRATEGY COMMISSION.
10	(a) Establishment.—
11	(1) In general.—There is hereby established
12	an independent commission to be known as the
13	"Indo-Pacific Trade Strategy Commission" (in this
14	section referred to as the "Commission"), to develop
15	findings and recommendations for a comprehensive
16	trade strategy for the Indo-Pacific region for pur-
17	poses of—
18	(A) ensuring sustained United States eco-
19	nomic and geopolitical leadership in the Indo-
20	Pacific region;
21	(B) promoting United States innovation,
22	exports, and economic opportunities for workers
23	and businesses;
24	(C) countering the People's Republic of
25	China's aggressive trade agenda;

1	(D) promoting United States values.
2	norms, and standards;
3	(E) strengthening the United States econ-
4	omy;
5	(F) bolstering United States economic and
6	national security, including by addressing the
7	vulnerabilities identified in the G7 Leaders
8	Statement on Economic Resilience and Eco-
9	nomic Security of May 20, 2023; and
10	(G) promoting United States supply chain
11	resilience.
12	(2) Effective date.—This subsection shall
13	take effect on the date that is 30 days after the date
14	of the enactment of this Act.
15	(b) Membership.—
16	(1) Number and appointment.—The Com-
17	mission shall be composed of 12 members appointed
18	as follows:
19	(A) 6 members appointed by mutual agree-
20	ment of the Chair of the Committee on Ways
21	and Means of the House of Representatives and
22	the Ranking Member of the Committee on Fi-
23	nance of the Senate.
24	(B) 6 members appointed by mutual agree-
25	ment of the Chair of the Committee on Finance

1	of the Senate and the Ranking Member of the
2	Committee on Ways and Means of the House of
3	Representatives.
4	(C) None of the appointed members shall
5	be a Member of the House of Representatives
6	or a Member of the Senate.
7	(2) QUALIFICATIONS.—The members of the
8	Commission shall be individuals who have well-docu-
9	mented expertise, knowledge, or experience in the
10	Indo-Pacific region, and—
11	(A) international trade;
12	(B) economic and supply chain issues;
13	(C) labor matters; or
14	(D) environmental policy.
15	(3) Meetings.—The Commission shall—
16	(A) hold public hearings and meetings;
17	(B) hold classified hearings or meetings, if
18	necessary to discuss classified material or infor-
19	mation;
20	(C) provide an opportunity for public com-
21	ment, including sharing of research and policy
22	analysis, through publication of a solicitation
23	for public comments during a period of not less
24	than 45 days;

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

(D) consult quarterly with Congress, spe-
cifically with members of the Committee on
Ways and Means of the House of Representa-
tives and members of the Committee on Fi-
nance of the Senate; and
(E) submit, not later than 18 months after

(E) submit, not later than 18 months after the date of the enactment of this Act, a final report to Congress, specifically to the members of the Committee on Ways and Means of the House of Representatives and members of the Committee on Finance of the Senate.

 $\bigcirc$